

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

LUFKIN DIVISION

JAMES VALENTINIS-DEE	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:25-cv-24
MONTGOMERY COUNTY ROAD COMMISSIONER PCT. 4	§	

MEMORANDUM OPINION REGARDING VENUE

Plaintiff James Valentinis-Dee, an inmate confined at the Joe Corley Detention Center in Conroe, Texas, proceeding *pro se*, brings this action against the Montgomery County Road Commissioner Pct. 4.

The above-styled action was referred to the undersigned magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and the Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to the United States Magistrate Judge for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

Analysis

The Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §1981, *et seq.*, under which this case is brought, does not contain a specific venue provision. Accordingly, venue in civil rights cases is controlled by 28 U.S.C. § 1391. *Jones v. Bailey*, 58 F.R.D. 453 (N.D. Ga. 1972), *aff'd per curiam*, 480 F.2d 805 (5th Cir. 1973).

When, as in this case, jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity of citizenship, 28 U.S.C. § 1391 provides that venue is proper only in the judicial district where the defendants reside or in which the claim arose. Here, Plaintiff is confined in Conroe, Texas which is located in Montgomery County, Texas. Further, the Defendant is located in Montgomery County, Texas. When public

officials are parties to an action in their official capacities, they reside for venue purposes in the county where they perform their official duties, which in this case is Montgomery County. *Holloway v. Gunnell*, 685 F.2d 150 (5th Cir. 1982); *Lowrey v. Estelle*, 433 F.2d 265 (5th Cir. 1976).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 124, Montgomery County is located in the Houston Division of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas. Accordingly, venue for such claims is not proper in the Eastern District of Texas.

When venue is not proper, the court “shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). After due consideration, the undersigned is of the opinion that the claims in this action should be transferred to the Southern District of Texas. A separate order so providing will be entered this date.

SIGNED this the 5th day of February, 2025.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C. Stetson', written over a horizontal line.

Christine L Stetson
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE